

**UNCLASSIFIED**

---

**AD 296 041**

---

*Reproduced  
by the*

**ARMED SERVICES TECHNICAL INFORMATION AGENCY  
ARLINGTON HALL STATION  
ARLINGTON 12, VIRGINIA**



---

**UNCLASSIFIED**

NOTICE: When government or other drawings, specifications or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related government procurement operation, the U. S. Government thereby incurs no responsibility, nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

63-2-4

CATALOGED BY ASTIA  
AS AD NO. 296041

# LINEAR PROGRAMMING UNDER UNCERTAINTY IN AN $L_{\infty}$ SPACE\*

BY  
CHARLES S. FISHER

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 7  
DECEMBER, 1962

PREPARED UNDER CONTRACT Nonr-222 (77)  
(NR-047-029)

FOR  
OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

\*This research was supported in part by the Office of Naval Research under Contract ONR 222 (77) with the University of California. Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.

DEC 18 1963  
ASTIA

CENTER FOR RESEARCH IN MANAGEMENT SCIENCE  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
Berkeley 4, California

**LINEAR PROGRAMMING UNDER UNCERTAINTY  
IN AN  $L_\infty$  SPACE\***

**BY  
CHARLES S. FISHER**

**TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 7  
DECEMBER, 1962**

**PREPARED UNDER CONTRACT Nonr-222 (77)  
(NR-047-029)**

**FOR  
OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH**

**\*This research was supported in part by the Office of Naval Research under Contract ONR 222 (77) with the University of California. Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.**

**CENTER FOR RESEARCH IN MANAGEMENT SCIENCE  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
Berkeley 4, California**

# LINEAR PROGRAMMING UNDER UNCERTAINTY IN AN $L_\infty$ SPACE

by

Charles S. Fisher

## Introduction

The mathematical problem discussed here is encountered in an attempt to generalize a class of economic models based on the minimax idea in game theory. The particular situation considered is that of "linear programming under uncertainty." In brief a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$  is given and also a finite family  $\mathcal{B}_i$ ,  $i=1, \dots, n$ , of sub- $\sigma$ -fields of  $\mathcal{A}$ , and a linear continuous functional  $a$  on the space of  $n$ -tuples  $e = (e_1, \dots, e_n)$  where the  $e_i$  are real-valued functions on  $\Omega$ , measurable with respect to  $\mathcal{B}_i$ . The problem then is to characterize a maximum of  $\langle e, a \rangle$  when  $e$  is constrained to lie in some convex set.

The solution to this problem when  $\Omega$  is finite and the constraints are given by matrix inequalities is given by R. Radner in [6]. In his paper an economic interpretation of the model is discussed. What follows is an extension to the situation in which  $\Omega$  is a nonfinite, countable set, say the positive integers,  $\mathcal{B}_i$  sub- $\sigma$ -fields of the discrete  $\sigma$ -field, and the constraints are of the form  $eT \leq b$  where  $T$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix whose entries  $t_{ij}$  are functions on  $\Omega$ , and  $\leq$  is the usual ordering on Euclidian  $n$ - or  $m$ -space, and  $b$  is

an  $m$ -tuple of functions on  $\Omega$ . The linear functional to be maximized is of the form

$$\xi(e \cdot a) = \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^n e_i(\omega) a_i(\omega) dP(\omega) .$$

As when working with linear programming problems in finite dimensional spaces, we will characterize the dual program and establish sufficient conditions for the equivalence of the existence of a maximum and that of a nonnegative saddle point of the associated Lagrangian form.

The question as to what topology is appropriate does not arise when the function spaces are finite dimensional (i.e.,  $\Omega$  is a finite set) because in this situation the spaces are reflexive and their weak and strong topologies are identical. Whereas the theorems for separation of convex sets in infinite dimensional locally convex linear topological spaces depend on the class of linear functionals given and the topology in which the sets are closed. For discussions of this see Hurwicz [3] and [2], chapter 5.

The topology that will be used is that of an  $L_{\infty}$  norm on an  $n$ -tuple of functions and instead of considering the entire conjugate of  $L_{\infty}$  as the setting for the dual problem, we have taken  $L_1$ . This restriction is imposed because the dual of  $L_{\infty}$  has no natural economic analogue. The presentation in what follows is for the case of couples of functions. Its extension to the  $n \times m$  case is immediate.

There are various different ways of stating and proving duality theorems. In what follows, a variation of D. Bratton's

excellent, though unfortunately unpublished, duality theorem in [1] is presented. The treatment of the problem is also analogous to that done by Kretchmer in [4] but simplified because of the special properties of the spaces considered.

### Preliminary Lemmas and an Example of Duality

Let  $\Omega = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ ,  $\mathcal{A}$  = discrete  $\sigma$ -field,  $\mathcal{B}_1$ ,  $i=1, 2$  sub- $\sigma$ -fields of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $P$  a positive probability measure on  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $P(\Omega) = 1$  and  $P(\omega) > 0$  for all  $\omega \in \Omega$ .

Also let  $E = L_\infty(\Omega, \mathcal{B}_1, P) \times L_\infty(\Omega, \mathcal{B}_2, P)$ ,  $F = L_\infty(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P) \times L_\infty(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$ , and  $E' = L_1(\Omega, \mathcal{B}_1, P) \times L_1(\Omega, \mathcal{B}_2, P)$ ,  $F' = L_1(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P) \times L_1(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$ . [ $E$  = conjugate of  $E'$  in its norm topology ( $\|(e^1, e^2)\| = \max[\|e^1\|, \|e^2\|]$ ) and similarly  $F$  = conjugate of  $F'$ .] If  $T = \begin{pmatrix} t_{11}(\omega) & t_{12}(\omega) \\ t_{21}(\omega) & t_{22}(\omega) \end{pmatrix}$  is a linear transformation defined on  $E$  by  $(e^1, e^2) \begin{pmatrix} t_{11} & t_{12} \\ t_{21} & t_{22} \end{pmatrix}$  then  $eT$  belongs to  $F$  and  $T$  is bounded (i.e., continuous) if  $\sup_{1, j, \Omega} |t_{1j}(\omega)| = M < \infty$ . For if  $eT = f$  then  $\|f^1\| = \sup_{\omega} |e^1(\omega)t_{11}(\omega) + e^2(\omega)t_{21}(\omega)| \leq M_2\|e\|$ . The same holds for  $f^2$ , therefore  $\|eT\| \leq 2M\|e\|$ .

The set  $P_E = \{e \in E: (e^1(\omega), e^2(\omega)) \geq (0, 0) \text{ for all } \omega \in \Omega\}$  will be called the positive cone of  $E$ . Its conjugate is  $P_E^\oplus = \{e' \in E': \langle e, e' \rangle \geq 0\}$ . By taking ones and zero's in the appropriate coordinates, we have that  $P_E^\oplus = \{e': (e'^1, e'^2) \geq (0, 0) \text{ for all } \omega \in \Omega\}$ . Similarly  $P_F = \{f: f \geq 0\}$  and  $P_F^\oplus = \{f': \langle f, f' \rangle \geq 0\} = \{f': f' \geq 0 \text{ (i.e., } (f'^1, f'^2) \geq (0, 0))\}$ . Also define  $P_R = \{\lambda: \lambda \text{ real number and } \lambda \geq 0\}$ .

We will consider the following programming problem.

Given  $a \in E'$  and  $b \in F$ , then under what conditions is the existence of a maximum of  $\langle e, a \rangle$  subject to the constraints  $e \geq 0$  and  $e^T \leq b$  (i.e.,  $b - e^T \in P_F$ ) equivalent to the existence of a positive saddle point of the Lagrangian,  $\Phi(e, f') = \langle e, a \rangle + \langle b - e^T, f' \rangle$ .

To do this we will first prove a specialization of the Minkowski-Farkas lemma and its consequences to D. Bratton's version of the duality theorem as it appears in Cowles Commission Discussion Paper: Mathematics No. 427 [1].

The reason that the following discussion is necessary is that the duality theorem depends heavily on the type of closure of certain convex sets. If  $X$  is a Banach space  $X^*$ ,  $X^{**}$  is first and second conjugates then in general the  $X$ -topology (weak  $*$ ) of  $X^*$ , the  $X^{**}$ -topology (weak), and the norm topology of  $X^*$  are distinct and of increasing fineness (i.e., contain more open sets). We will consider  $L_\infty$  whose conjugate space strictly includes  $L_1$ ; and  $L_1$  in the case with which we are dealing is the conjugate of a Banach space and so possesses a weak  $*$ -topology.

The situation will be made clear by comparing the following two lemmas to the Minkowski-Farkas lemma.

Lemma 1. Let  $X = L_1(A, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  and  $Y = L_\infty(A, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  where  $\mu$  is finite. If  $P$  is a convex cone  $\subseteq X$  then  $P^{\oplus\oplus}$  coincides with the  $L_\infty$  closure of  $P$  in  $L_1$ .

Proof:

$$P^{\oplus} = \{y \in Y: \langle y, p \rangle \geq 0 \text{ for all } p \in P\}$$

$$P^{\oplus\oplus} = \{x \in X: \langle y, x \rangle \geq 0 \text{ for all } y \in P^{\oplus}\} \quad .$$



1)  $L_\infty$  closure of  $P \subseteq P^{\oplus\oplus}$ . If  $z \in L_\infty$  closure of  $P$ , then there exists a net  $p_\alpha \in P$  such that for all  $w \in Y$   $\langle w, p_\alpha \rangle \rightarrow \langle w, z \rangle$  hence for all  $y \in P^{\oplus}$   $\langle y, p_\alpha \rangle \rightarrow \langle y, z \rangle$  but  $\langle y, p_\alpha \rangle \geq 0$ , therefore  $\langle y, z \rangle \geq 0$  and  $z \in P^{\oplus\oplus}$ .

2)  $P^{\oplus\oplus} \subseteq L_\infty$  closure of  $P$ . If  $x_0 \notin L_\infty$  closure of  $P$ , then since the  $L_\infty$  closure of  $P$  is convex and  $Y$  equals the set of all continuous linear functions on  $X$  with the  $L_\infty$  topology ([2], p. 421), we have by the Mazur-Bourgin theorem ([2], p. 417) that there exists a  $y_0 \in Y$  such that  $y_0(x_0) < c - \epsilon < c \leq y_0(P)$  but  $P$  is a cone so  $y_0(P) \geq 0$ ; hence  $y_0 \in P^{\oplus}$  and  $y_0(x_0) < 0$ ; therefore  $x_0 \notin P^{\oplus\oplus}$ . And the lemma is established.  $L_\infty$  closure of  $P = P^{\oplus\oplus}$ .

On reversing the roles of  $X$  and  $Y$  in Lemma 1, we get by the same argument that the  $L_1$  closure of  $P$  equals  $P^{\oplus\oplus}$ .

Note that in the above, because  $P$  is convex, its weak closure and closure in the norm coincide. In the  $L_1$  spaces that we will consider,  $\Omega$  is countable and  $P(\omega) > 0$  for all  $\omega \in \Omega$  so that the weak and norm topologies are the same ([2], p. 295).

Lemma 2. Let  $E, E', F, F'$ , and  $T$  be as given above  
 $T: E \rightarrow F$  then 1) the transpose  $T'$  of  $T$  where  $T': F' \rightarrow E'$  is a  
well-defined, linear, continuous transformation such that  
 $\langle eT, f' \rangle = \langle e, T'_f \rangle$  for  $e \in E, f' \in F'$ ; and 2) if  $Q$  is a closed  
[weak or norm closed] convex cone in  $F$ , then  $[T^{-1}(Q)]^{\oplus} = E$   
closure of  $T'(Q^{\oplus})$  in  $E'$ .

Proof:

1) For  $e \in E$ ,  $f' \in F'$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle eT, f' \rangle &= \int_{\Omega} [e^1 t_{11} + e^2 t_{21}] f'^1 dP + \int_{\Omega} [e^1 t_{12} + e^2 t_{22}] f'^2 dP \\ &= \int_{\Omega} e^1 \xi^2 [t_{11} f'^2 + t_{12} f'^1] dP + \int_{\Omega} e^2 \xi^2 [t_{21} f'^1 + t_{22} f'^2] dP \\ &= \langle e, T' f' \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

In this situation, conditional expectation is a bounded linear operator. Linearity is immediate and if  $X \in L_{\infty}$  then  $\sup |X| = \|X\| < \infty$ , i.e.,  $\|X\| \geq X(\omega) \geq -\|X\|$ ; therefore,  $\|X\| = \xi^1 \|X\| \geq \xi^1 X \geq -\xi^1 \|X\| = -\|X\|$  so  $\|\xi^1 X\| \leq \|X\|$ ; i.e.,  $\xi^1$  is a bounded operator. We have that if  $T' f' = g$ ,  $\|g^1\| = \|\xi^1 [t_{11} f'^1 + t_{12} f'^2]\| \leq \|\xi^1\| \cdot M \cdot 2 \|f'\| \leq 2M \|f'\|$  and similarly for  $g^2$ . Therefore  $T'$  is well defined and continuous.

2) If  $Y \subseteq F'$ , then  $T^{-1}(Y^{\oplus}) = [T'(Y)]^{\oplus}$ , for  $x \in T^{-1}(Y^{\oplus}) \iff T(x) \in Y^{\oplus} \iff \langle T(x), Y \rangle \geq 0 \iff \langle x, T'(Y) \rangle \geq 0 \iff x \in [T'(Y)]^{\oplus}$ . Hence if  $Q$  is as given  $Q^{\oplus\oplus} = Q$  by the comment following Lemma 1 and  $[T^{-1}(Q)]^{\oplus} = [T^{-1}(Q^{\oplus\oplus})]^{\oplus} = [T'(Q^{\oplus})]^{\oplus\oplus} = E$  closure of  $T'(Q^{\oplus})$  in  $E'$  because  $E'$  is as  $X$  in Lemma 1.

Applying the above two lemmas, Bratton's proof of the duality theorem follows verbatim where  $E, E', F, F', T$  are as given here and the weak\* closure of  $U'(P_R, P_E^{\oplus}, P_F^{\oplus})$  is replaced by the  $E$ -closure of  $U'(P_R, P_E^{\oplus}, P_F^{\oplus})$  in  $E'$ .

Theorem: If  $U: R \times E \rightarrow R \times E \times F$  is given by  $U(\lambda, e) = (\lambda, e, \lambda b - eT)$  and  $U'(P_R, P_E^{\oplus}, P_F^{\oplus})$  is  $E$  closed in  $E'$ , then the following are equivalent:

- 1) there exists a maximum of  $(e, a)$  for  $e \geq 0$ ,  $eT \leq b$ ;
- 2) there exists a minimum of  $(b, f')$  for  $f' \geq 0$ ,  $T'f' \geq a$ ;
- 3)  $\Phi(e, f') = (e, a) + (b - eT, f')$  has a nonnegative saddle point.

Moreover, if there exists  $e, f'$  satisfying the constraints, then the problems 1, 2, 3 have solutions.

By making one additional assumption on the given problem a sufficient condition for applying the duality theorem is obtained.

Assumption:  $(b^1(\omega), b^2(\omega)) > (\epsilon, \epsilon)$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$  and for all  $\omega \in \Omega$ . This is slightly stronger than the admissibility of  $(0, 0)$ .

We now proceed to show that the conditions of our modified duality theorem hold; i.e., if

$U: R \times E \rightarrow R \times E \times F$  is given by

$U(\lambda, e) = (\lambda, e, \lambda b - eT)$ , then

$U'(P_R, P_E^\oplus, P_F^\oplus)$  is E closed in  $E'$ .

$U'$  is expressed as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle (\lambda, e), U'(\delta, e', f') \rangle &= \langle U(\lambda, e), (\delta, e', f') \rangle \\
 &= \lambda \delta + \langle e, e' \rangle + \langle \lambda b - eT, f' \rangle \\
 &= \lambda(\delta + \langle b, f' \rangle) + \langle e, e' - T'f' \rangle ;
 \end{aligned}$$

hence  $U'(\delta, e', f') = (\delta + \langle b, f' \rangle, e' - T'f')$ . Now if  $(\delta_\alpha + \langle b, f'_\alpha \rangle, e'_\alpha - T'f'_\alpha)$  is a sequence in  $U'(P_R, P_E^\oplus, P_F^\oplus)$  such that it E-converges, i.e., weakly, to some  $(\bar{\mu}, \bar{a})$ ,  $\in R \times E'$ . We wish to show that  $(\bar{\mu}, \bar{a}) \in U'(P_R, P_E^\oplus, P_F^\oplus)$ ; i.e., if  $\delta_\alpha \geq 0$ ,  $f'_\alpha \geq 0$ ,  $e'_\alpha \geq 0$  and

$\delta_\alpha + \langle b, f'_\alpha \rangle \rightarrow \bar{\mu}$ ,  $e'_\alpha - T'f'_\alpha \rightarrow \bar{a}$  (weakly), then there exists  $\bar{\delta} \geq 0$ ,  $\bar{e}' \geq 0$ ,  $\bar{f}' \geq 0$  such that  $\bar{\delta} + \langle b, \bar{f}' \rangle = \bar{\mu}$  and  $\bar{e}' - T'\bar{f}' = \bar{a}$ .

In the following, when subsequences are chosen they will return the original indices.

By assumption,  $b \geq \epsilon > 0$  so since  $f'_\alpha \geq 0$  therefore  $\langle b, f'_\alpha \rangle \geq 0$  and hence  $\{\delta_\alpha\}$  and  $\{\langle b, f'_\alpha \rangle\}$  are uniformly bounded and have convergent subsequences; i.e.,  $\delta_\alpha \rightarrow \bar{\delta}$ , and we can make  $\langle b, f'_\alpha \rangle$  converge monotonely to some  $K$ , such that  $\bar{\delta} + K = \bar{\mu}$ .

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \langle b, f'_\alpha \rangle &= \int_{\Omega} b^1(\omega) f_{\alpha}^{1'}(\omega) dP + \int_{\Omega} b^2(\omega) f_{\alpha}^{2'}(\omega) dP \\ &\geq \epsilon \int_{\Omega} f_{\alpha}^{1'}(\omega) dP + \epsilon \int_{\Omega} f_{\alpha}^{2'}(\omega) dP \end{aligned}$$

because  $b(\omega) > (\epsilon, \epsilon)$  and  $f'_\alpha \geq 0$ . From this inequality and the convergence of  $\langle b, f'_\alpha \rangle$  we get that  $\{f'_\alpha\}$  is bounded  $[\epsilon \int_{\Omega} f_{\alpha}^{1'}(\omega) dP + \epsilon \int_{\Omega} f_{\alpha}^{2'}(\omega) dP \geq \epsilon \max\{\|f_{\alpha}^{1'}\|, \|f_{\alpha}^{2'}\|\} = \|f'_\alpha\|]$ , and that  $\{f'_\alpha\}$  are pointwise bounded. Hence, by taking a Cantor diagonal,  $\{f'_\alpha\}$  has a pointwise convergent subsequence; i.e.,  $f'_\alpha \xrightarrow{pt} \bar{f}'$ . Now  $\int \bar{f}'^1 dP = \int \lim_{\alpha} f_{\alpha}^{1'} dP = \int \liminf_{\alpha} f_{\alpha}^{1'} dP \leq \liminf_{\alpha} \int f_{\alpha}^{1'} dP = \liminf_{\alpha} \|f_{\alpha}^{1'}\| < \infty$  follows from the above and Fatou's lemma. Since  $\bar{f}'^1 \geq 0$ ,  $\|\bar{f}'^1\| < \infty$  and the same result on  $\bar{f}'^2$  yields  $\bar{f}' \in F'$ . By monotonicity of  $\langle b, \bar{f}'_\alpha \rangle \rightarrow K$ , say,  $\langle b, f'_\alpha \rangle - K \geq 0$ , hence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \int [\liminf (b \cdot f'_\alpha - K)] dP \leq \liminf \int [b \cdot f'_\alpha - K] dP \\ &= \lim [\int b \cdot f'_\alpha dP - K] = \lim [\langle b, f'_\alpha \rangle - K] = 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$0 = \int [\liminf (b \cdot f'_\alpha - K)] dP = \int b \cdot \bar{f}' dP - K.$$

Therefore  $\langle b, \bar{f}' \rangle = K$ .

We have that  $f'_\alpha \rightarrow \bar{f}'$  pointwise and  $e'_\alpha - T'f'_\alpha \rightarrow \bar{a}$  weakly or, since  $E'$  is an  $L_1$  space such that  $P(\omega) > 0$  for all  $\omega \in \Omega$ , the convergence is also in the  $L_1$  norm ([2], p. 259); i.e.,  $j=1,2$ ,  $\lim \int |(e'^j_\alpha - [T'f'_\alpha]^j) - \bar{a}^j| dP = 0$ . Now  $T$  and, therefore,  $T'$  are obviously pointwise continuous so  $\lim_\alpha T'f'_\alpha(\omega) = T'\bar{f}'(\omega)$ . Also weak convergence where  $P(\omega) > 0$  and  $A$  discrete implies pointwise convergence [ $\langle x, f \rangle = P(\omega)f(\omega)$  for an appropriate  $X$  ([2], p. 259)] so that pointwise  $\lim_\alpha e'_\alpha = T'f'_\alpha + \bar{a} = \bar{e}$ . Applying Fatou's lemma  $j=1,2$ ,  $0 \leq \int |(e'_\alpha - [T'\bar{f}]^j) - \bar{a}^j| dP = \int \liminf |(\bar{e}^j_\alpha - [T'f'_\alpha]^j) - \bar{a}^j| dP \leq \liminf \int |(e'_\alpha - T'f'_\alpha)^j - \bar{a}^j| dP = 0$ ; therefore  $\bar{e}' = T'\bar{f}' + \bar{a} \in E'$ . Because  $e'_\alpha, f'_\alpha, \delta_\alpha \geq 0$ , we have  $\bar{e}' \geq 0, \bar{f}' \geq 0, \bar{\delta} \geq 0$  such that  $\bar{\delta} + \langle b, \bar{f}' \rangle = \bar{\mu}$  and  $\bar{e}' - T'\bar{f}' = \bar{a}$ . Hence  $(\bar{\mu}, \bar{a}) \in U'(P_R, P_E^\oplus, P_F^\oplus)$ , and it is thence weakly (i.e.,  $E$ ) closed in  $E'$ .

So far we have established a sufficient condition for the equivalence statement of the duality theorem to hold.

The assumption of strict admissibility of zero is not sufficient to guarantee the existence of a maximum as is seen in the finite dimensional case (e.g.,  $T = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $b = (2, 3)$ ,  $a = (1, 1)$ ). As the last statement of the theorem indicates, a maximum exists if the constraint set is nonvoid and the dual problem has an admissible function.

We can derive a result analogous to the finite dimension situation which holds in the particular programming problem chosen. It is that if  $e_0$  is an admissible maximum then there exists a non-negative saddle point  $(e_0, f'_0)$ , and, examining the Lagrange form, we get

$$0 = \langle b - e_0 T, f_0 \rangle = \int (b - e_0 T)^1 f_0^{1'} dP + \int (b - e_0 T)^2 f_0^{2'} dP ;$$

but the integrands are positive so  $[e_0 T(\omega)]^1 = b^1(\omega)$  except possibly when  $f^{1'}(\omega) = 0$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ .

### Acknowledgment

The author wishes to express his gratitude to Professor R. Radner for supplying motivation and guidance in this work.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] Bratton, Donald, "Duality Theorem in Linear Programming," Cowles Commission Discussion Paper, Mathematics No. 427, January 6, 1955.
- [2] Dunford, N. and Schwartz, J., Linear Operators, Interscience, New York, 1958.
- [3] Hurwicz, L., "Programming in Linear Spaces," in Arrow, Hurwicz, Uzawa, Studies in Linear and Non-Linear Programming, Stanford University Press, 1958, pp. 33-102.
- [4] Kretchmer, K., "Some Aspects of Continuous Programming," presented at the Winter Meeting of the Econometric Society, Chicago, December 27-29, 1958.
- [5] Loeve, M., Probability Theory, 2nd Ed., Van Nostrand, Princeton, 1960.
- [6] Radner, R., "The Linear Team: An Example of Linear Programming under Uncertainty," Cowles Foundation Paper No. 100, Cowles Foundation, New Haven.

BASIC DISTRIBUTION LIST  
Nonr-222(77), NR-047-029  
University of California

University of Chicago  
Statistical Research Center  
Chicago, Illinois  
Attn: Prof. Paul Meier

Stanford University  
Applied Math. and Stat. Lab.  
Stanford, California  
Attn: Prof. G. J. Lieberman

University of Minnesota  
Dept. of Statistics  
Minneapolis, Minnesota  
Attn: Prof. I. R. Savage

Florida State University  
Dept. of Statistics  
Tallahassee, Florida  
Attn: Dr. R. A. Bradley

Princeton University  
Dept. of Mathematics  
Princeton, New Jersey  
Attn: Prof. S. S. Wilks

Columbia University  
Dept. of Mathematical  
Statistics  
New York 27, New York  
Attn: Prof. T.W. Anderson

University of California  
Dept. of Statistics  
Berkeley 4, California  
Attn: Prof. J. Neyman

University of Washington  
Dept. of Mathematics  
Seattle 5, Washington  
Attn: Prof. Z. W. Birnbaum

Cornell University  
Dept. of Mathematics  
Ithaca, New York  
Attn: Prof. J. Wolfowitz

Columbia University  
Dept. of Industrial Engineering  
New York 27, New York  
Attn: Prof. Cyrus Derman

University of Minnesota  
Dept. of Statistics  
Minneapolis, Minnesota  
Attn: Prof. Ingram Olkin

Columbia University  
Dept. of Mathematics  
New York 27, New York  
Attn: Prof. H. Robbins

New York University  
Institute of Mathematical Sciences  
New York 3, New York  
Attn: Prof. W. M. Hirsch

Cornell University  
Dept. of Plant Breeding  
Biometrics Unit  
Ithaca, New York  
Attn: Walter T. Federer

University of North Carolina  
Statistics Department  
Chapel Hill, North Carolina  
Attn: Prof. Walter L. Smith

Rutgers - The State University  
Statistics Center  
New Brunswick, New Jersey  
Attn: Prof. Martin B. Wilk

Michigan State University  
Dept. of Statistics  
East Lansing, Michigan  
Attn: Prof. Herman Rubin

Brown University  
Division of Applied Mathematics  
Providence 12, Rhode Island  
Attn: Prof. M. Rosenblatt



Harvard University  
Dept. of Statistics  
Cambridge, Massachusetts  
Attn: Prof. W. G. Cochran

University of California  
Management Sciences  
Research Project  
Los Angeles 24, Calif.  
Attn: Dr. J. R. Jackson

The Research Triangle Inst.  
Stat. Research Division  
505 West Chapel Hill St.  
Durham, North Carolina  
Attn: Dr. M.R. Leadbetter

Columbia University  
Dept. of Indust. Engr.  
New York 27, New York  
Attn: Prof. S.B. Littauer

University of Michigan  
Dept. of Mathematics  
Ann Arbor, Michigan  
Attn: Prof. L. J. Savage

Carnegie Inst. of Technology  
Grad. Sch. of Ind. Admn.  
Schenley Park  
Pittsburgh 13, Penn.  
Attn: Prof. G.L. Thompson

The George Washington Univ.  
Dept. of Statistics  
Washington, D.C.  
Attn: Prof. Harold Bright

Stanford University  
Dept. of Mathematics  
Stanford, California  
Attn: Prof. S. Karlin

Stanford University  
Dept. of Statistics  
Stanford, California  
Attn: Prof. C. Stein

Logistics Research Project  
The George Washington Univ.  
707 22nd St, N.W.  
Washington 7, D.C.  
Attn: Dr. W. H. Marlow

Cornell University  
Industrial and Engineering  
Administration  
Ithaca, New York  
Attn: Prof. Henry P. Goode

University of Wisconsin  
Dept. of Statistics  
Madison, Wisconsin  
Attn: Prof. G.E.P. Box

Stanford University  
Dept. of Economics  
Stanford, California  
Attn: Prof. K. J. Arrow

Institute of Mathematical  
Statistics  
University of Copenhagen  
Copenhagen, Denmark  
Attn: Prof. Anders Hald

Cowles Commission for Research  
in Economics  
Yale University  
New Haven, Connecticut  
Attn: Prof. T. C. Koopmans

Mass. Inst. of Technology  
Cambridge, Massachusetts  
Attn: Dr. R. A. Howard

Brown University  
Dept. of Mathematics  
Providence 12, Rhode Island  
Attn: Prof. David Gale

The John Hopkins University  
Dept. of Math. Statistics  
34th and Charles Streets  
Baltimore 18, Maryland  
Attn: Prof. Geoffrey S. Watson

Northwestern University  
Dept. of Mathematics  
Evanston, Illinois  
Attn: Dr. A. Charnes

Purdue University  
Dept. of Economics  
Lafayette, Indiana  
Attn: Dr. Stanley Reiter

Princeton University  
Dept. of Mathematics  
Princeton, New Jersey  
Attn: Prof. A. W. Tucker

University of California  
Dept. of Economics  
Berkeley, California  
Attn: Dr. Roy Radner

Arthur D. Little, Inc.  
30 Memorial Drive  
Cambridge 42, Mass.  
Attn: Mr. J. C. Hetrick

Case Institute of Technology  
Systems Research Center  
Cleveland, Ohio  
Attn: Dr. Raymond Nelson,  
Acting Director

Case Institute of Technology  
Operations Research Group  
Cleveland, Ohio  
Attn: Prof. R. L. Ackoff

IBM Corporation  
P.O.Box 218, Lamb Estate  
Yorktown Heights, N.Y.  
Attn: Dr. Ralph Gomory,  
Research Center

Princeton University  
Dept. of Econ. and Soc.  
Princeton, New Jersey  
Attn: Prof. O. Morgenstern

University of Maryland  
Mathematics Department  
College Park, Maryland  
Attn: Prof. L. W. Cohen

University of California  
Dept. of Engineering  
Los Angeles 24, California  
Attn: R. R. O'Neill

University of California  
Institute of Engrg. Research  
Berkeley 4, California  
Attn: Prof. G. B. Dantzig

Applied Math. and Stat. Lab.  
Dept. of Statistics  
Stanford University  
Stanford, California  
Attn: Prof. H. Solomon

The Inst. of Mngmt. Sciences  
Box 626  
Ann Arbor, Michigan  
Attn: Prof. R. M. Thrall

Cornell University  
Dept. of Indust. and Eng. Admn.  
Ithaca, New York  
Attn: Dr. Donald L. Iglehart

University of Minnesota  
School of Bus. Admn.  
Minneapolis, Minnesota  
Attn: Prof. Leonid Hurwicz,  
Dept. of Economics

## BASIC DISTRIBUTION LIST FOR UNCLASSIFIED TECHNICAL REPORTS

Head, Logistics and Mathematical Statistics Branch Office of Naval Research Washington 25, D.C.	3 copies
Commanding Officer Office of Naval Research Branch Office Navy 100 Fleet Post Office New York, New York	2 copies
ASTIA Document Service Center Arlington Hall Station Arlington 12, Virginia	10 copies
Defense Logistics Studies Information Exchange Army Logistics Management Center Fort Lee, Virginia Attn: William B. Whichard	1 copy
Technical Information Officer Naval Research Laboratory Washington 25, D.C.	6 copies
Commanding Officer Office of Naval Research Branch Office 346 Broadway New York 13, New York Attn: J. Laderman	1 copy
Commanding Officer Office of Naval Research Branch Office 1030 East Green Street Pasadena 1, California Attn: Dr. A. R. Laufer	1 copy
Bureau of Supplies and Accounts Code OW Department of the Navy Washington 25, D.C.	1 copy
Institute for Defense Analyses Communications Research Division von Neumann Hall Princeton, New Jersey	1 copy